PETITION OF APPEAL FROM DECISION OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

CHECKED BY AMOUNT OF FEE \$1,154.25					
RECEIPT # 1200926834					
DATE HEARD 02/03/09 ZONING HEARINGS SECTION MIAMI-DADE PLANNING DEPT. BY					
BY CZAB#12					
BY DATE RECEIVED STAMP					
This Appeal Form must be completed in accordance with the "Instruction for Filing an Appeal" and in accordance with Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and return must be made to the Department on or before the Deadline Date prescribed for the Appeal.					
RE: Hearing No					
Filed in the name of (Applicant) Ronald Chavez, Ramiro Chavez, Magali Chavez					
Name of Appellant, if other than applicant					
Address/location of APPELLANT'S property: 8300 S.W. 94 Street					
Application, or part of Application being Appealed (Explanation): Rezoning from EU-1 to EU-M					
hereby appeals the decision of the Miami-Dade County Community Zoning Appeals Board with reference to the above subject matter, and in accordance with the provisions contained in Chapter 33 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, hereby makes application to the Board of County Commissioners for review of said decision. The grounds and reasons supporting the reversal of the ruling of the Community Zoning Appeals Board are as follows: The Community Zoning Appeals Board, in denying the requested district boundary change, based its decision, not on substantial competent evidence in the record, which included a favorable recommendation from the Department of Planning and Zoning and overwhelming neighborhood support, but on a conclusion that, because the property may be a recipient of Severable Use Rights (SURs) and thereby render three lots, the proposed rezoning would be incompatible with the area. The evidence in the record, including a staff recommendation in favor of the application, reflected that the Miami-Dade County Comprehensive Development Master Plan ("CDMP") designated the					
property Estate Density Residential allowing development from 1.0 to 2.5 dwelling units per acre. The requested EU-M zoning abuts the property to the north and east, and there is numerous precedent for rezonings from EU-1 to EU-M in the immediate vicinity. The CDMP provides that "[i]n order to efficiently use, and no prematurely deplete, the finite development capacity that exists inside the Plan's Urban Development Boundary (UDB), land should not be developed at densities lower					
than the minimum established for each category." In addition, CDMP Page I-20 provides that "Miami- Dade County shall continue to encourage and promote the transfer of Severable Use Rights from					
lands which are allocated SURs in Chapter 33B, Code of Miami-Dade County, to land located within					
the Urban Development Boundary as designated on the LUP map." The property's current density					
of 0.80 is 20% lower than the minimum density of 1.0 established by the CDMP. Accordingly, rezoning the Property within the range provided by the CDMP is not only warranted, but a denial of					
the rezoning request to develop the property within the permissible range would be inconsistent with					
the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the CDMP. The Community Zoning Appeals Board's action					
was therefore arbitrary and should be reversed					

PETITION OF APPEAL FROM DECISION OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMUNITY ZONING APPEALS BOARD TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

	TO THE BOARD O	F COUNTY COMMISSIONERS	MERENWIED
		\$1,154.25	07-3-45
RECEIPT# IZ	009 26834		FEB 10 2009
DATE HEARD <u>02/03</u>	3/09		ZONING HEARINGS SECTION MIAMI-DADE PLANNING AND ZONING DEPT. BY
BY CZAB #	12		
		BY	VEN VED OTAMB
*******	*******	DATE REC	EIVED STAMP
accordance with Cha	apter 33 of the Code of	cordance with the "Instruction for Miami-Dade County, Florida, ar Date prescribed for the Appeal.	
RE: Hearing No.	07-344		×
Filed in the n	ame of (Applicant)	Ronald Chavez, Ramiro Chave	ez, Magali Chavez
	ellant, if other than app		
		8300 S.W. 94 Street	
		ealed (Explanation): Rezoni	ng from FU-1 to FU-M
hereby appeals the reference to the abo 33 of the Code of M Commissioners for ruling of the Community Zondecision, not on signer of the Community Zondecision, not on signer of the Community Zondecision, not on signer of the commendation from support, but on a condecision, and thereby The evidence in the that the Miami-Dade property Estate Den The requested EU-I precedent for rezoning order to efficiently us the Plan's Urban Dethan the minimum estate Dade County shall of the Commission of the County shall of the County sh	decision of the Miami ve subject matter, and fliami-Dade County, Floweview of said decision. Inity Zoning Appeals Board, in dubstantial competent of medical county Comprehensive in the property of the pr	enying the requested district bour evidence in the record, which Planning and Zoning and overvithe property may be a recipient of proposed rezoning would be incomiffed recommendation in favor of the vertice Development Master Plan ("Or g development from 1.0 to 2.5 or operty to the north and east, as in the immediate vicinity. The Ordeplete, the finite development can UDB), land should not be development can gory." In addition, CDMP Page 1-2 and promote the transfer of Severence.	ns contained in Chapter to the Board of County rting the reversal of the Indary change, based its included a favorable whelming neighborhood of Severable Use Rights impatible with the area. The application, reflected CDMP") designated the dwelling units per acre. The designation of the distribution of the county of the co
lands which are alloc	ated SURs in Chapter	33B, Code of Miami-Dade Count	y, to land located within

of 0.80 is 20% lower than the minimum density of 1.0 established by the CDMP. Accordingly, rezoning the Property within the range provided by the CDMP is not only warranted, but a denial of the rezoning request to develop the property within the permissible range would be inconsistent with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the CDMP. The Community Zoning Appeals Board's action

was therefore arbitrary and should be reversed.

APPELLANT'S AFFIDAVIT OF STANDING

STATE OF <u>Florida</u> COUNTY OF <u>Miami-Dade</u>					
Before me the undersigned authority, personally Magali Chavez (Appellants) who was sworn and attached appeal of a Community Zoning Appeals	says that the Appellant has standing to file the				
The Appellant further states that they have stand Zoning Appeals Board matter because of the following Appeals Boa					
(Check all that apply)					
 Participation at the hearing Original Applicant Written objection, waiver or consent 					
Appellant further states they understand the meaning of an oath and the penalties for perjury I and that under penalties of perjury I Affiant declares that the facts stated herein are true.					
Further Appellant says not.					
Signature Frint Name Front R + 1905 Print Name Print Name	Appellant's Signature Ronald Chavez Print Name Ramiro Chavez Print Name Appellant's Signature Appellant's Signature Magali Chavez				
Sworn to and subscribed before me on the Appellant is personally know to me or has produ identification. (stamp/seal)	Print Name day ofwear2009.				

APPELLANT MUST SIGN THIS PAGE

Datetot day of,	year: 2009 A A	
S	Signed	
•	Rona	ald Chavez
	8 300 300	94 St
	Maili	ng Address
	305-984-0451 Phone	Fax
S	signed Rannico d	acky iro Chavez
	8300 SW	945+
	Maili	ng Address
	3/15-223-583) Phone	Fax
	Thoric O	, ax
S	tigned Magallu	aue_
	Maga	ali Chavez
	8400 SW	94St
	Maili	ng Address
	305-223-5831	
	Phone	Fax
Subscribed and Sworn to before me o		, year_2009
	Notary Public	
		RAL DEROS COMMISSION # DD817617 EXPIRES August 26, 2012 FloridsNotaryService.com
	Commission Expires:	

IN copy of Melissa T. Llahues 200 S. BIS cayne BIVD Julie 850 Miani FL 33131